

DECISION INFORMATION					
1. DECISION TITLE	Execution of Grant Funding Agreement between the Combined Authority and Peterborough City Council in relation to the preparation of a Full Business Case for the A1260 Nene Parkway Junction 15.				
2. DECISION No.	ODN356-2022				
3. DECISION DATE	08/04/2022				
4. FORM AUTHOR	Nathan Bunting Nathan.bunting@cambridgeshirepeterborough-ca.gov.uk				
5. DESCRIPTION OF DECISION	To execute the Grant Funding Agreement between the Combined Authority and Peterborough City Council in relation to the preparation of a Full Business Case for the A1260 Nene Parkway Junction 15. The FBC has been completed and the funding agreement is retrospective.				
6. AUTHORITY FOR DECISION	<ul> <li>The FBC has been completed and the funding agreement is retrospective.</li> <li>This decision has been taken under delegated authority granted to the Chief Executive by the Combined Authority Board at its meeting on 28 March 2018 when it was resolved to: <ul> <li>a) Agree a total budget allocation of £18.305m, comprising £16.13m in 2018/19 and £2.18m in 2019/20 for the rolling programme of priority transport and infrastructure schemes.</li> <li>b) Note the £6.65m funding secured from the National Productivity Investment Funding for 2018/19 which was included within the total budget allocation.</li> <li>c) Agree the pipeline of projects set out in the multi-year transport programme.</li> <li>d) Authorise the Chief Executive to delegate responsibility and budget for the production of feasibility studies, business case or designs for each of the projects within the multi-year transport programme to a delivery partner, provided that all such studies, business cases and designs are to be reported back to the Combined Authority Board for approval.</li> </ul> </li> <li>At its meeting on 05 August 2020 the CA Board received a report for A1260 Junction 15 where it was resolved to: <ul> <li>a) Approve for the drawdown of £470,000 from the Medium-Term Financial Plan to produce the Full Business Case and detailed design</li> </ul> </li> </ul>				
7. DECISION TYPE	Officer				
8. DECISION OWNER	Chief Legal Officer – Legal Decision				
9. KEY DECISION	FORWARD PLAN DATE n/a				



INFORMATION					
INFORMATION	FORWARD PLAN NUMBER				
	DATE OF DECISION				
	DATE REPORT PUBLISHED				
	APPROVAL HYPERLINK				
	IMPLEMENTATION DATE				
	EXEMPT INFO/ ANNEX				
DECISION OVERVIEW					
10. SUMMARY OF REQUIREMENTS	Execution of Grant Funding Agreement.				
11. PROJECT BACKGROUND	Junction 15 is a partially signalised grade separated roundabout (positioned beneath the A47 Trunk Road), which is situated on the western edge of Peterborough's urban area. The junction provides access to the A1260 Nene Parkway, Bretton Way, Thorpe Wood and the A47 Soke Parkway. The project is not identified as a key project within the Combined Authority's Business Plan. It is heavily used by trips between the west of Peterborough and the City Centre, and a significant proportion of north – south trips as it provides access to one of only three river crossings within Peterborough. The junction also provides direct access to a major employment centre (Thorpe Wood) and accommodates a large number of peak hour commuter trips to / from this location. A Full Business Case has been developed and therefore this Funding Agreement approval is retrospective.				
12. FINANCE	VALUE OF DECISION	£470,000			
INFORMATION	BUDGET CODE(S)	CX5029			
	BUDGET DESCRIPTION(S)	A1260 Nene Parkway Junction 15			
	FUNDING TYPE	REVENUE/ CAPITAL			
	FUNDING APPROVAL	05 August 2020			
		CA Board			
	FUNDS AVAILABLE	£470,000			
	OTHER COMMENTS				
	DIRECT AWARD JUSTIFICATION				



13. PROCUREMENT CONSIDERATIONS	REGULATION RISKS					
	VFM JUSTIFICATION					
14. LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS	LEGAL RISKS		The position here is that the Full Business Case has been completed and funding allocated. This occurred before legal guidance was given on the need for documentation to be in place first. Therefore, the execution of the document carries no legal risk and will improve the position by retrospectively putting in place documentation required by the CPCA Constitution to govern the funding.			
	CONTRACT/ ( INFORMATIC		The GFA is a standard CPCA draft document suitable for this application.			
15. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST/ MITIGATION						
16. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION	- March 2018 Board paper <u>Document.ashx (cmis.uk.com)</u> – August 2022 Board paper					
17. CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION						
DECISION APPROVA	DECISION APPROVAL/ CONSULTATION					
PROCUREMENT	NAME	Chantel Allott				
	DATE	13.04.2022				
	COMMENT	OMMENT Approved				
FINANCE	NAME	Lynne Farrow				
	DATE	11/04/2022				



To grant a permission or a licence, affect the rights of individuals, to award a contract or incur expenditure over £250k, to amend budgets, or apply a Key Decision over £500k.

	COMMENT	Approved.			
LEGAL	NAME	Peter Wilson			
	DATE	12.4.2022.			
	COMMENT	Approved.			
CHIEF OFFICER/ DIRECTOR	NAME	Rowland Potter			
	DATE	13/04/2022			
	COMMENT	Approved as per Board Decision			
OVERALL APPROVAL					
DECISION MAKER	NAME	Rowland Potter			
	DATE	13/04/2022			
	COMMENT	Approved as per Board Decision			

#### **Officer or Mayoral Decision Notice**

Where an officer or the Mayor makes a decision, including under specific delegation from a meeting of a decision-making body, the effect of which is

- (a) to grant a permission or licence,
- (b) to affect the rights of an individual; or

(C) to award a contract or incur expenditure, the decision-making officer must produce a written record of the decision as soon as reasonably practicable after the decision has been made.

#### Key Decisions

- 1. A "key decision" means a decision, which in the view of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee is likely to:
- (a) result in the Combined Authority spending or saving a significant amount, compared with the budget for the service or function the decision relates to;



- or (b) have a significant effect on communities living or working in an area made up of two or more wards or electoral divisions in the area.
- When assessing whether or not a decision is a key decision, Members must consider all the circumstances of the case. However, a decision which results in a significant amount spent or saved will not generally be considered to be a key decision if that amount is less than £500,000.
- 3. A key decision which is considered to have a 'significant' effect on communities should usually be of a strategic rather than operational nature and have an outcome which will have an effect upon a significant number of people living or working in the area and impact upon: (a) the amenity of the community or; (b) quality of service provided by the Authority
- 4. Subject as below, a key decision may not be taken by the decision maker unless: (a) it is in the Forward Plan on the Combined Authority's website; (b) at least 28 clear days' notice has been given, or if this is impracticable, the decision has complied with the provisions set out in paragraph 12 or 13 below as they may apply; and (c) notice of the meeting has been given in accordance with these rules.